Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station

License Renewal Application

Public Hearing - Afternoon Session

Docket Number: (50-395)

Location: Jenkinsville, South Carolina

Date: Wednesday, December 11, 2002

Work Order No.: NRC-691 Pages 1-85

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1
1	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
2	+ + + +
3	VIRGIL C. SUMMER NUCLEAR STATION
4	LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION
5	ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING PROCESS
6	+ + + +
7	WEDNESDAY,
8	DECEMBER 11, 2002
9	+ + + +
10	JENKINSVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA
11	+ + + +
12	
13	The meeting was held at 1:30 p.m. at the
14	White Hall Fellowship at 8594 State Highway 215 South,
15	Jenkinsville, South Carolina, Chip Cameron, Facilitator
16	presiding.
17	
18	PRESENT:
19	CHIP CAMERON, FACILITATOR
20	JOHN TAPPERT
21	RAJ AULUCK
22	GREGORY SUBER
23	
24	
25	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

FACILITATOR CAMERON: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Chip Cameron. I'm the special counsel for the public liaison at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. I would just like to welcome all of you to the NRC's meeting today.

The topic of today's public meeting is the scope of the NRC's environmental review on the application that South Carolina Electric and Gas submitted to the NRC to renew the operating license at the V.C. Summer Nuclear Station.

It's my pleasure to serve as your facilitator for today's meeting; and in that role, I will try to help all of you to have a productive meeting this afternoon.

I just wanted to go over a couple of things about the format for the meeting this afternoon and the agenda before we get started with the substance of today's meeting.

In terms of the format, there is basically two parts to the meeting, and they match up with our objectives for the meeting today.

The first objective is to give all of you some information on the NRC's license renewal evaluation process, and to answer any questions that you might have

about that process. So we're going to start with a few brief NRC presentations that are going to give you some information, and then we will go out to you for any questions that you might have about that.

The second objective, and the most important one, is to hear from all of you on any comments that you might have on the license renewal application for the Summer Nuclear Station, specifically comments on the scope of the NRC's environmental review.

So the second part of the meeting, we're going to ask those of you who want to make a formal statement to us to come up and talk to us, either to come up here to the podium, which may be the most comfortable, or I can bring you this talking stick.

We are taking written comments on the scope of the environmental review issue, and the NRC staff will be telling you a little bit more about that in a moment. We did want to talk to you in person, and we're here today to listen to you. Any comments that you make at this meeting will carry the same weight as a written comment, any written comments that we receive.

In terms of ground rules for the meeting, they're fairly simple. If you have a question, just give me a signal, and I will bring you this talking stick, and if you could give us your name and

affiliation, if appropriate.

We are taking a transcript. Lisa is our stenographer. She's in the back of the room. We will have a complete record of everything that's said today, and that will be available for people who want to see it.

I would also ask that only one person at a time talk, not only so that we can get a clean transcript, but most importantly so that we can give our full attention to whomever has the floor at the moment.

When we get to the formal public comment part of the meeting, if you could just follow a guideline of approximately five minutes and try to contain your comments to five minutes. That way, we will make sure that we can give everyone who wants to talk an opportunity to speak today.

In terms of agenda, I want to also introduce the NRC staff who will be talking to you. I'm first going to ask John Tappert right here from the NRC to give you a brief welcome and a little bit of an overview.

John is the Chief of the environmental section within the license renewal and environmental impact program at the NRC. And that program is located in our Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

John and his staff, those are the people who do all sort of environmental reviews on anything that involves reactors, whether it's license renewal, a new plant, license amendments, whatever. So he will be talking to you first.

Then, so that you have a complete picture on license renewal, we're going to have Raj Auluck, who is right here, talk to you about the license renewal process overall, and there are several components to that.

Raj is the project manager on the safety evaluation that's being done on the license renewal application for the Summer Station. He will explain what that is all about and how that relates to the environmental review that's being done on that application.

Then we're going to go to Gregory Suber, who is right here. I think many of you already know Greg.

Greg is the project manager on the environmental review for the license renewal application.

In terms of background on our speakers,

John Tappert has been with the agency for approximately

11 years. He's served as a resident -- one of our

resident inspectors. These are the NRC employees who

are actually resident at a nuclear power plant and are

1 the NRC's eyes and ears, so to speak, at the plant. 2 That's part of John's background. 3 In terms of education, he has a bachelor's 4 degree in aerospace and oceanographic engineering from 5 Virginia Tech and a master's in environmental engineering from Johns Hopkins University. 6 7 Raj Auluck has been with the agency for over 20 years. He's not only been involved in licensing of a 8 nuclear power plant, but also involved in rule-making 9 efforts on various nuclear safety issues, and he has a 10 master's and a doctorate in mechanical engineering from 11 12 the University of Maryland. And Gregory, who is the environmental project 13 14 manager, has been with the agency for two years now. 15 Before that, he was with Bechtel Power Corporation, and he has a master's from Duke University in environmental 16 sciences, and a bachelor's in mechanical engineering 17 from Howard University. 18 19 We also have some experts who are assisting us in this review and other NRC staff here, including staff 20 21 from our Office of General Counsel. And after the 22 meeting, I would just encourage you to talk to our staff 23

and our experts about any questions that you have. They're here to try to help answer the questions today.

I would just thank you for all coming out this

24

afternoon and thank the church for the use of the cheerful meeting hall that we have today. With that, I will ask John to start us off.

MR. TAPPERT: Thank you, Chip. Welcome. As Chip said, my name is John Tappert, Chief of the environmental section in the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, I would like to thank you for coming out today and participating in this process.

There are some things we would like to cover today. I would like to briefly discuss the purposes of today's meeting.

I first want to provide you with a brief overview of the entire license renewal process. This includes both the safety review, as well as an environmental review, which is the principal focus of today's meeting.

In the environmental review, we're going to assess the impacts associated with extending the operating licensing for the V.C. Summer Nuclear Power Plant for an additional 20 years. We're going to give you information about the process we're going to follow, the issues we're going to look at, our schedule, and also the opportunities that you have to participate in that process.

At the conclusion of the staff's presentation, we will be happy to receive any questions or comments that you may have today. But first let me provide some general context for the License Renewal Program.

The Atomic Energy Act gives the NRC the authority to issue an operating license to commercial nuclear power plants for a period of 40 years. For the V.C. Summer Plant, that operating license will expire in 2022.

Our regulations also make provisions for extending that operating license for an additional 20 years. As that's part of the license renewal program, SCE&G has requested license renewal for the Summer plant.

As part of the NCR's review of that application, we're going to develop an environmental impact statement to look at the effects of extending that operating license.

We're in the early phase of that review now, something called the scoping process, and seeking your input on the issues that will require our greatest focus on our review. That is really the principal purpose of this meeting, to receive your input on that scoping process.

With that, I would like to ask Raj Auluck to

1 give us an overview of the safety portion of our review. 2 MR. AULUCK: Thank you, John. Good afternoon. 3 As John mentioned, I'm Raj Auluck. 4 project manager for the safety review of the application for license renewal for the V.C. Summer Nuclear Station. 5 The NRC's requirements for the renewal of 6 7 operating license are provided in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Register, Part 54. The license renewal 8 9 process essentially runs in two parallel paths and is very similar to the original licensing process in that 10 it involves a safety review, an environmental impact 11 12 evaluation, and plant inspections. The safety review is focused on the review and 13 14 inspection of aging management programs for passive 15 long-lived system, structures and components. reason that the commission felt that these programs 16 should be the focus of the license renewal regulations 17 is because ongoing regulator processes already ensure 18 that the current licensing basis is maintained, and that 19 20 things like emergency planning and security plans are 21 acceptably implemented. 22 There are components and systems that need to 23 be constantly attended to. However, those maintenance 24 processes do not explicitly look at the plant's design

capability to cope with longterm degradation of

equipment due to aging effects.

2.0

So the license renewal application focuses on those inspection programs and maintenance practices that are used to maintain the margins of safety in the plant safety equipment.

The second review path involves the environmental review, which Gregory Suber will discuss shortly.

I also want to mention that there is an independent review by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, or ACRS. The ACRS is a group of scientists and nuclear industry experts who serve as a consulting body to the NRC Commissioners. The ACRS reviews the renewal application, and the Staff's safety evaluation, and reports its findings and recommendations directly to the Commission.

This figure illustrates the entire license renewal process. The upper path describes the safety review, and the lower path shows the environmental review.

The safety review involves the staff's review of the technical information in the application to verify, with reasonable assurance, that the plant can continue to operate safely during the extended period of operation. The staff's review is documented in a safety

evaluation report.

As I mentioned earlier, the ACRS reviews this report, as well as the application, in order to develop its independent findings. The ACRS holds public meetings, which are transcribed. Oral and written statements can be provided during the ACRS meetings in accordance with the instructions described in the notice of their meetings in the Federal Register.

In parallel with the safety review, the staff performs its review of the environmental impacts of continued operation. As Gregory Suber will discuss later, the staff will issue an environmental impact statement on the facility after it completes its review.

The NRC's licensing process also includes a formal process for public involvement through hearings conducted by a panel of administrative law judges who are called Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, or ASLB. That process requires a petition to be submitted to hold hearings on particular issues, which would be litigated by the board. However, there were no petitions filed to intervene on the Summer proceedings.

At the end of the process, the final safety evaluation report, the final environmental impact statement, the ACRS recommendation and staff inspections will be used by the agency in making the final license

renewal decision.

Throughout this process, interested members of the public who are concerned about nuclear safety issues can raise those issues during the various public meetings that the NRC will hold to discuss the V.C. Summer application.

Meetings on particular technical issues are usually held at the NRC headquarters in Rockville,

Maryland. However, some technical meetings and meetings to summarize the results of the NRC's inspection findings will be held near the plant site in a place that is accessible to the public.

In addition, the staff holds four public meetings on the environmental aspects of the review, two on the scope of the review, and two on the results of the review during which the public can provide comments.

This is a brief overview of the license renewal process. I will now take questions regarding the renewal process before we proceed to the environmental review process presentation.

FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Raj. Are there questions about the review process or about the Nuclear Regulatory Commission generally for those of you who aren't perhaps familiar with what we do? Yes, sir. Can you give us your name?

1	MR. BURSEY: Yes. I'm Brett Bursey, and I
2	have a couple of questions about the process.
3	I understand that November 10th was the cutoff
4	for filing for interventions. If no one has filed for
5	interventions, does that preclude the citizens being
6	able to have a hearing on the final supplement?
7	MR. AULUCK: On November 4th, there was a
8	30-day comment period of notice, which was published on
9	the
LO	MR. BURSEY: I failed to read my Federal
11	Register that month.
L2	MR. AULUCK: The regulations recognized late
L3	filing petitions in CFR 2.1 2.817.
L4	MR. BURSEY: Well, I know about late filing
L5	specifically. Absent an intervener, will there be full
L6	public hearings? No? I see people shaking their head
L7	no.
L8	MR. AULUCK: No.
L9	MR. BURSEY: So today, you can tell us that
20	you do not anticipate there will be public hearings on
21	the final
22	MR. AULUCK: Right.
23	FACILITATOR CAMERON: And Brett, if you need
24	to know more about the filing process I know you know
25	a lot about it already. Pam Hodgkins is over here from
	ı

1 our Office of General Counsel, who can give you more 2 information or answer any questions on that. 3 But I think just to emphasize what your 4 question brought up is that, Raj went through the 5 process, the meetings that are going to happen on the license renewal evaluation for Summer. And there will 6 7 be another public meeting on the draft environmental 8 impact statement here in the community. There also are meetings between the NRC staff 9 and the licensee on technical issues, aging issues, some 10 of which are down here and open to the public, some of 11 which are in Washington and open to the public. 12 Raj, can you tell people if they want to find 13 14 out if we're going to hold a meeting down here, how do they find out about that? 15 MR. AULUCK: I would like to emphasize that, 16 17 all of our meetings are public meetings and noticed at least ten days in advance. But if you have a specific 18 19 interest, we will be happy to put you on the 20 distribution and let you know of the upcoming meetings. 21 FACILITATOR CAMERON: So you can get on a 22 distribution list. And also, do we announce these meetings on our website? 23 24 MR. AULUCK: Yes, we do. As I mentioned, that we will be happy to put somebody on the distribution. 25

 $\label{facilitator} \mbox{FACILITATOR CAMERON:} \quad \mbox{I think that's important}$ for people to know that.

Are there other questions about the process at all at this point? Okay. Let's just get you on the transcript here. Tell us who you are.

MS. PEARSON: I'm Ms. Pearson. I'm just wondering, because I just found out about it the day before yesterday, why was it -- I'm disappointed at the crowd, because I'm sure they don't know more than I did. That's the reason they're not here. Evidently, there was something wrong with the way you published this meeting. To me it is. I don't know. But I know I didn't know, and I've been here 76 years. Thank you.

FACILITATOR CAMERON: We can always -- I think that we put some notices in local papers. But we can always improve on our notice. If there are maybe some suggestions about community groups that we can contact, if anybody has suggestions like that, then we will talk to you after the meeting. We will do everything that we can to get the word out there to people. Thank you for that comment.

Does anybody else have a question or a comment? And if you want to come back to this subject later on in the meeting and ask questions, you can do that, too. For now, I guess we'll go on to Greg, who is

1	going to talk about the environmental review part of the
2	process.
3	One more question.
4	MR. MARCHARIA: Good afternoon. I'm Council
5	Member Kamau Marcharia for this particular district. I
6	want to welcome you to Fairfield County and all of those
7	who are participating in this particular matter.
8	I'm going to be brief. I have the flu and I
9	got up this morning to come. I have been asked to come.
10	Just commenting on what Ms. Pearson said. I guess I
11	would ask the question, how many folks are here from
12	Jenkinsville. Three? Four?
13	MR. BURSEY: How many citizens?
14	MR. MARCHARIA: How many citizens?
15	MR. BURSEY: You ask it. You have the
16	microphone.
17	MR. MARCHARIA: How many citizens are here
18	that work for the department?
19	MR. BURSEY: How many people are here that
20	don't work for the power company (inaudible).
21	FACILITATOR CAMERON: Let's get you on the
22	record after
23	MR. BURSEY: Well, you can ask the question
24	and put it on the record.
25	MR. MARCHARIA: As a citizen, if you want to

ask that, that's okay.

My only concern is that we are in a county that we have a 47-percent illiteracy rate, and I think getting this information out to the public, as Ms. Pearson has just indicated, is crucial. We're living in crucial times, with a plant here and a threat of terrorism and all of that.

I think just to share with some of you who might not be aware. I'm speaking for the concerns that I have heard from my constituency, not necessarily where I'm at. But recently within the latter few weeks, there's been a proposed bill of a coal power plant in this community. When that announcement came, it just sent -- there was never any conversation about the nuclear power plant. But that really spurred a lot of conversation in this particular district, which might not impact other districts.

I've been asked a million questions that I don't have answers for. When you're elected and folks ask you a question, you can't be saying I don't know, hunching your shoulders. So I'm trying to gather as much information as I possibly can.

I would suggest on a Wednesday, most folks are probably working. If it was on a Saturday, more at a public facility, you might have more participation. So

1	I would encourage that. There needs to be some more
2	input from the citizens in this community, in this
3	serious, serious decision that you are making. I wanted
4	to make that clear.
5	FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much.
6	Any other questions before we go on?
7	MR. COLEMAN: I'm Creighton Coleman.
8	FACILITATOR CAMERON: Representative Coleman?
9	MR. COLEMAN: Yes, sir.
LO	FACILITATOR CAMERON: If I could get you to
L1	come to the microphone.
L2	MR. COLEMAN: At some point in time, the plant
L3	will be closed down, I realize, whether or not it's 2002
L4	or whenever it is. Who will monitor the plant
L5	environmentally, safely after it's closed down? Will
L6	you all continue to monitor the plant?
L7	MR. AULUCK: Yes, there are obligations that
L8	we have to follow, and we have someone in the audience
L9	to speak to that.
20	Michael Masnik : There is a rather
21	detailed procedure once the plant permanently shuts
22	down, and it is a requirement of the regulations that
23	the plant be cleaned up. We provide oversight during
24	that clean-up period. It's a lengthy process. The
25	number of reactors in this country has undergone that

1 process or is undergoing that process. So yes, we would 2 provide oversight during the actual decommissioning of 3 the facility. 4 MR. COLEMAN: But after it's decommissioned, 5 is anybody riding herd over it during that time period to ensure the public that it's safe? 6 7 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Representative, we need 8 to get you on the transcript. I hated to bother you 9 with this microphone. If you could talk into it. I mean, after it's 10 MR. COLEMAN: decommissioned, are there people that come periodically 11 12 to ensure the safety? I'm sure it will be, but to assure the public that everything is okay at the 13 14 facility? I understand y'all will decommission it and 15 that's it, but is someone continuing to monitor the 16 plant? Michael Masnik: The process of 17 decommissioning will remove the radiological hazard of 18 19 the facility. When the license is terminated for that 20 facility, it would be terminated under the conditions 21 that it would be safe for unrestricted use. In other 22 words, if you wanted to build another power facility 23 there or a school or whatever, the levels of residual 24 radiation would be low enough so that it would pose no

hazard to the public.

1 So once the license is terminated, it also 2 terminates our oversight of the facility, but we 3 wouldn't allow that until the plant was cleaned up to a 4 point where it would not present any residual hazard to 5 the public. FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Mike. 6 7 Mr. Bursey is going to ask his question he was going to 8 ask during his comments at the later part of the 9 meeting. 10 Anybody else before we go to the environmental review part of the process? 11 12 Okay. Thank you, Raj. Thank you, Chip. First of all, I 13 MR. SUBER: 14 would like to thank everyone for coming out. Public 15 participation is important to the NRC, and I'm glad to see that some of you took time out of your busy 16 schedules to come here and tell us how you feel about 17 this process, and also to help us develop and evolve the 18 19 process. 20 My name is Gregory Suber. I am the 21 environmental project manager for the V.C. Summer 22 licensing renewal. Right now I'm going to take a few 23 minutes to discuss the environmental review process and 24 how you, the public, can participate in that process.

The National Environmental Policy Act, also

known as NEPA, was enacted in 1969 and signed into law in January of 1970. NEPA requires all federal agencies to use a systematic approach to consider environmental impacts during certain decisionmaking proceedings. It is what we call a disclosure tool that involves the public. That means that we at the NRC use this process to, number one, let the public know what information we are using in our regulatory decisions.

We disclose and demonstrate to the public what issues we are considering in our review, and then we invite the public to come to us and evaluate the process and the information. That's why we're here today, to initiate this process.

The product of the NEPA process for license renewal is an environmental impact statement, also known as an EIS. An EIS describes the results of the detailed review that we conduct to evaluate the environmental impacts of a proposed action that may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

As a part of our review, we also consider the environmental impacts of alternatives to the proposed action. These alternatives include replacement power sources, whether it be windmills or hydroelectric power, conservation measures, and the no-action alternative, which means simply not approving the license renewal for

V.C. Summer.

In the generic environmental impact statement for license renewal of nuclear plants, also known as NUREG-1437 or, as we commonly call it, the GEIS, the NRC considered the environmental impacts of renewing nuclear power plant operating licenses for up to 20 years.

In the GEIS, the NRC staff identified and assessed 92 environmental issues related to license renewal. The staff reached generic conclusions on 69 of these issues referred to in the GEIS as Category 1 issues. By generic, we mean that the conclusions of these environmental impacts are common for all operating plants.

The remaining 23 issues require plant-specific review, in addition to any Category 1 issue for which new and significant information is identified. New and significant information can be identified by a variety of sources. Number one is the applicant, SCE&G, the NRC, other agencies, or through public comments, as in the forum that we have today. These plant-specific reviews are included in the supplement to the GEIS.

At this point in our process, we are gathering information that we need to prepare the supplement to the GEIS. Specifically, we are performing what we call scoping. We are having this meeting today as part of

our scoping process for the purpose of providing you, the public, and other government agencies the opportunity to provide us with information that you believe may have some bearing on our environmental evaluation.

In particular, we are looking for information that may not be readily available or concerns that you, especially people here in Jenkinsville, may have that are not addressed in the licensing application.

As stated in the slide, the objective of our environmental review is to determine whether the adverse impacts of license renewal for V.C. Summer are so great, that preserving the option of license renewal for energy planning decisionmakers would be unreasonable.

That's the way it's written in our regulations. So to paraphrase, we are trying to determine whether renewing the V.C. Summer license for an additional 20 years is acceptable from an environmental standpoint.

I want to emphasize that if we, in our process, determine that license renewal is acceptable from an environmental standpoint, all that means is that SCE&G has the option to operate the plant for an additional 20 years. The NRC does not determine whether

the plant will actually operate. That's a decision that will be made by SCE&G and the state regulators, which in this case would be the South Carolina Public Service Commission.

It is possible that, after receiving a renewed license from the NRC, that the utility could determine that it is not economically feasible to continue plant operation, and the utility could decide not to operate that plant.

On this slide, we present a more detailed timeline of our environmental review process. The licensee's application was received on August the 6th of 2002. On October the 25th, we issued a notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement and conduct a scoping process, which is what we're doing right now.

The scoping process, which you all are an instrumental part of, is a data-gathering phase. The information that we collect is used to develop a draft of the environmental impact statement, which we expect to issue for public comment in July of next year.

We will come back to Jenkinsville in September of 2003 for another public meeting to talk with you about the results of our review, and to give you another opportunity to provide us with any comments or any information that you may have.

1 After receiving and evaluating the comments, 2 we will develop the final environmental impact 3 statement, which we expect to issue in February of 2004. 4 Yesterday, we went to the site to get familiar 5 with the lay of the land, to examine features of particular interests, and to observe firsthand how the 6 7 site interacts with the environment. This week we are gathering information for our 8 evaluation from a number of different sources, including 9 the documents sent to us from the licensee. We are also 10 11 compiling information from federal, state, tribal and 12 local government officials and interested people from the local community who may have input that will help us 13 14 in our environmental evaluation. 15 We will consider all comments received during the comment period, which expires on January 6th. 16 you get up and have a comment and then go home and think 17 of something brilliant that you forgot to say, we will 18 19 still accept those comments up until January 6th. 20 Our team focuses on many environmental issues. This slide shows the range of areas included in our 21 22 Impacts considered include everything from review. radiological effects to air quality, from water use and 23

We also look at what we call socioeconomics.

quality, to effects on plant and wildlife.

24

We ask the question, what type of economic effect does the plant have on the lives of people here in Fairfield County and in the surrounding counties.

We also consider environmental justice.

Environmental justice focuses our attention on the question of whether there are minority or low-income populations that may be disproportionally affected by the proposed action in which it gets its license renewal.

To prepare for our review, we have assembled a team of NRC staff with backgrounds in the specific technical and scientific disciplines required to perform our environmental evaluations.

In addition, to supplement our technical expertise of our staff, we have engaged the assistance of National Laboratories to ensure that we have a well-rounded knowledge base to perform our review. We put together a team of about 15 people, many of whom are here today to listen to what you have to say.

Let's summarize a few key points of an earlier slide. Our schedule is to complete the scoping process by the end of the public comment period, which is January the 6th of 2003. After that, we will issue a draft environmental impact statement in July of 2003. And we expect to issue the final environmental impact

statement in February of 2004.

If you would like a copy of these documents sent to you, we will need your name and your mailing address. And in order to do that, all you have to do is fill out one of the blue cards on the desk in the hallway here, and that will facilitate that process.

Here we provide some contact information for you. In case you have additional questions when you leave today, my telephone number is provided in this slide. I am the designated point of contact within the NRC for the environmental portion of the review.

Mr. Raj, who spoke earlier, is the project manager for the engineering management program.

Although my telephone number is on this slide,
I still need your specific comments in some form that we
could document. Documentation is very important. So
you could either submit your comments in writing or, as
Chip has indicated, you can get up and have your
comments transcribed through the oral comments that you
give today.

Oral comments are important because this meeting is being transcribed and the transcript will become the written record of your comments.

Arrangements have been made for documents associated with this review to be available to you

1 locally at the Fairfield County Library, located at 300 2 Washington Street in Winnsboro, South Carolina, and the Thomas Cooper Library located at 1322 Greene Street in 3 4 Columbia, South Carolina on the USC campus. 5 Also, documents are available through our documents management system known as ADAMS, which you 6 7 can reach on our internet web page at www.nrc.gov. As I had spoken earlier, after this meeting, 8 9 you can still submit comments. You can submit them by mail, in person or by email. You can mail specific 10 11 written comments to the address shown here, which is 12 also included on one of the handouts that we have in the hallway, so you don't have to write it down right now. 13 14 You can also stop by our Rockville office and speak to 15 speak to any of us and submit comments that way, or you can submit comments by email to the address shown on the 16 slide, and that same address is also shown on the 17 handout that is on the table there. 18 This concludes the formal part of our 19 presentation. Once again, I would like to thank all of 20 21 you for coming out. We are genuinely interested in 22 hearing what you have to say, and I thank you for your 23 time. 24 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much.

You just heard Greg talk about the

1	environmental review. Raj Auluck talked about the
2	what I call the safety review. It's the aging
3	management part of this. Both of those evaluations come
4	together as the basis for an NRC decision on whether to
5	renew the license.
6	Before we go on to you, I just wondered, Greg,
7	can you just clarify one thing? You told us when the
8	final environmental impact statement would be available.
9	What is the time frame for when that document
10	and the aging the safety review will come together
11	for an NRC decision, so that people know when a decision
12	would be made?
13	MR. SUBER: The decision now is at the level
14	of the Nuclear at the NRR director, and I believe
15	that's about a year after the final has been issued; is
16	that correct?
17	MR. AULUCK: Twenty-two months or 25 months.
18	The current schedule calls for 25 months. We're
19	changing arising to a final decision in 22 months.
20	FACILITATOR CAMERON: Can you do the math for
21	us?
22	MR. AULUCK: Yes. June of 2004.
23	FACILITATOR CAMERON: June of 2004 is the
24	projected date.
25	Questions on the environmental review or going

1	back to the safety review? Any questions from anybody?
2	MR. BURSEY: What you're doing in this process
3	is a site-specific supplement to a generic environmental
4	impact statement; is that correct?
5	MR. SUBER: Yes.
6	MR. BURSEY: The only thing that will be
7	considered that's site-specific will be in this portion
8	of the process?
9	MR. SUBER: Say that again.
10	MR. BURSEY: The only site-specific
11	considerations will be in this portion of the process?
12	MR. SUBER: Are you asking whether this
13	portion of the process, just consideration of
14	site-specific information?
15	MR. BURSEY: Well, I believe you answered that
16	to the affirmative.
17	Are there other parts of the process reviewing
18	the licensing that will be site-specific?
19	MR. SUBER: Other parts of the environmental
20	process or are you talking about the safety process?
21	I'm trying to understand.
22	MR. BURSEY: That's a two-part question.
23	Other parts of the environmental review, yes or no,
24	other than this initial supplement?
25	MR. SUBER: You're talking about the

1	supplement for V.C. Summer?
2	MR. BURSEY: Right.
3	MR. SUBER: The entire supplement for
4	V.C. Summer is site-specific.
5	MR. BURSEY: And will the aging issues be
6	site-specific?
7	MR. SUBER: Okay. The aging issues,
8	Mr. Auluck will be able to address that.
9	MR. BURSEY: Does environmental review
10	consider aging?
11	MR. SUBER: No, it does not
12	FACILITATOR CAMERON: The aging
13	considerations, as I understand it from Raj, are
14	considered in the safety evaluation. Of course, and
15	that is a site-specific evaluation. Although, for those
16	of you who are interested, there is a generic document
17	to look at aging issues. And Raj or John, do you want
18	to tell people a little bit about that?
19	MR. TAPPERT: I'd like to go back to what
20	Gregory was saying about the site-specific environmental
21	impact statement. We did a generic environmental impact
22	statement, and it basically had about 69 issues in
23	there.
24	We also looked at them site-specifically to
25	the extent we look for new and significant information

that might challenge (inaudible, door closes.) 1 2 So we deal with specific in-depth analysis for 3 the balance of the issues, but we also go back to what 4 we call Category 1, generic issues to see if there is 5 any new information. Regarding the aging management, principally 6 7 the safety review, can you give more on that? MR. AULUCK: Yes. We also have generic aging 8 9 issued lessons learned on the many issues, and applicant can refer those issues that staff has already done that. 10 But staff still does review whether those staff reviews 11 is applicable to this particular case on site-specific 12 13 cases. 14 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Let's see if there is 15 any further questions. Thank you, John. Thanks, Raj. Does anybody else have any questions at this 16 Councilman? 17 point? Just a question. 18 MR. MARCHARIA: I don't know 19 how well you can assure the community, but I have been 20 on the council now about six years, somewhere in the 21 proximity of that. 22 I've had constituencies ask me over the last 23 15 years -- there appears to be a substantial increase 24 in different types of cancer, particularly with our 25 senior citizens. There is a perception of this, even if

1 it's not true, there is certainly a perception. I know 2 I get asked this question quite often. What can you say to assure the community that this plant has no direct 3 4 impact in regards to these questions? 5 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Great. Thank you, Councilman. We're going to go to Mr. Richard Emch to 6 7 answer that. MR. EMCH: My name is Rich Emch. I'm a health 8 9 physicist. I work for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 10 First, cancer is a concern to all of us. 11 hardly go a day or a week or so around the world today 12 without hearing about some new cause of cancer or 13 14 something like how you cook your beef or whatever. So 15 it's a valid concern. It's one we all share about making sure that ourselves and our families are as safe 16 17 as possible from cancer. Let's start with some general information, and 18 then I will kind of come back to the specific 19 20 information about this area and about the Summer plant. 21 The issue of radiation risk, risk from 22 radiation, is a very well studied challenge to health. 23 There literally has been thousands of studies done over 24 the years. Amongst those studies, amongst the credible

studies, there has never been any information to show

health risk from radiation at doses below -- I'm going to use terms here, I'm going to try to explain them to you -- below about 10,000, 10,000 millirem. I'm using that particular unit of measure because I want to talk about other comparisons to it in a moment.

So of those studies, there has never been anything shown from those studies of health effects below those rates.

Now, in comparison, the average dose to you and me, citizens of the world, citizens in the United States, shall we say, the average dosage is over 300 millirem. That's why I used that particular unit before. So we're talking 300 to 10,000. And so this is the dose that you and I receive every day from various sources, national radioactivity, x-rays, dental x-rays, whatever.

From the Summer plant in particular -- we were just at the plant yesterday, examining effluent reports and environmental reports. This is where they go out and make measurements of possible radioactive material and fish, water, air samples, that sort of thing. From that data, it's clear that the maximum -- the maximum dose that anybody living in the environment in the Summer would be receiving something much less than 1 millirem per year.

So I'm just trying to throw out to you that one out of 300 that you would normally get or more, and then the comparison to anything below 10,000. So the beef is, no, that whatever cancers are being experienced and whatever, no, it's not due to the plant.

Now, having said that, again, we are here to look for new and significant information. And certainly, if anybody has data that they would like for us to look at and see, we would be happy to do that. We would like to do that. Does that answer your question, sir?

FACILITATOR CAMERON: One thing while I'm

FACILITATOR CAMERON: One thing while I'm limping back here to the councilman is, one thing you might want to say is that our NRC regulations limit the radioactive emissions from a nuclear power plant; isn't that correct?

MR. EMCH: Yes, they do.

MR. MARCHARIA: I guess in answer partially, given to the environmental regulations, does your agency also check environmentally any of the medical records to see whether or not these perceptions of increase of different types of cancers, do you monitor -- if you monitor air and the environment and you do that, do you also check whether or not there is an increase of health risk to citizens in the area?

MR. EMCH: Specifically, I'm not aware around
the Summer plant of anything that any methods that
are used to do that. Although, there have been as I
mentioned earlier, there have been many studies,
including studies specific to areas around nuclear power
plants in the United States, where there was where
they looked into that.
Again, there was no health impacts shown at
the scene at the plant from radiation from the plant.
FACILITATOR CAMERON: And usually, that type
of study is undertaken by the state departments of
health or by a federal agency called Agency for Toxic
Substances and Disease Registry, the Center for Disease
Control in Atlanta. I think and we will get you
specific information.
But I think that the Department of Health in
South Carolina did a study of cancer incidents by
county, and that just was released a few months ago.
But we will see if we can get you that.
Virgil, do you know anything about a recent
study at all that you could share with us?
MR. AUTRY: None that I'm aware of
FACILITATOR CAMERON: Unfortunately, I have to
get you on the transcript. Just tell us who you are,
nleage

MR. AUTRY: I'm Virgil Autrey, consultant for DHEC, the radiological division. DHEC for years has done environmental studies of this particular facility. Because of the loss of federal funds to do that, we no longer, but there are some information we shared with the plant to look at this.

I know we have the cancer registry, the Medical University of South Carolina has information on these particular areas and clusters that may show some problems there. But we have not identified for DHEC or done any specific studies, but have -- (inaudible) -- other new facilities and the Savannah River Site. But I don't think DHEC has done any technical things at this point.

FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much,

Virgil. We will see if we can get you more specifics on
that.

MR. BURSEY: I wanted some confirmation from him. If I'm right, I wanted to share my knowledge, if I'm right, and you correct me if I'm wrong, that the methodology that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission uses to determine those limits is based on a calculation as referred to as low as reasonably achievable OR. And the OR limits are not based on what is good and healthy for you, because there are scientists that say that no

additional radiation is actually healthy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Unfortunately, none of us in this room are going to live long enough to determine what the cumulative effects of what we're doing to our environment are, but the limits set by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission as low as reasonably achievable is based on the financial expenditure of the industry to reduce the millirem exposure to limits that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission considers acceptable. So if you will confirm that that OR is based on a cost-benefit unless by the industry.

FACILITATOR CAMERON: That's an important question to clarify, because that's not exactly the way it is.

Whereas, you're right, in the MR. EMCH: regulations you will find the words as low as reasonably achievable. The actual limits, though, That's correct. that have been set are very low limits. And actually, they were set and said, Okay, we believe that these limits would be safe, and they correlate to the limits that were -- the standards set by the Environmental Protection Agency and their regulations. And that's -these limits, without getting into specific numbers, which you will remember, I mentioned a little while ago, various numbers of millirem. The millirem limits for a

year for a nuclear power plant are in the range of five to ten millirems. And as I said, the data from the Summer plant indicates that they are actually -- all of the numbers I saw were 100 to 1,000 times lower than one millirem. So they are well within the limits, the safety limits, the limits below which we do not believe that there is any risk.

Now, let's come back to a couple of your other thoughts. One of them you mentioned what we referred to as the linear non-threshold theory. That's a technical concept for -- there is the concept that there is some possible damage from any amount of radioactive dose. That's what you're talking about. While we follow that concept as a conservatism -- in other words, we follow that concept to say, we don't want to allow any dose unless there is some benefit from it. So you don't want to take any dose that you don't have to take unless there is some benefit from it. So that's that concept.

And from that and also related to that, you talked about a cost benefit-study, actually, the regulations do call for that if -- when plants were originally licensed, they still had these limits of a few millirem, but they also did studies to look at the RAD waste handling system, the RAD waste clean-up system of the plant. And they did cost benefit studies there

where they said there's an additional level of clean-up that could be added to the plant, and they looked at cost benefit in that respect. So that was as low as reasonably achievable. But remember, that was in addition to saying, no, you have to say within these limits.

FACILITATOR CAMERON: Just so that it's clear, and I think John is going to say something here, the NRC's limits on how much radiation can go on from a particular activity are set on the basis of safety. There's no cost considerations in there at all. But once that safety level is set, then the NRC requires a licensee to go below that, to control their emissions even lower than that, and that is based, just to be conservative -- and that is based on the ALARA concept, which is a cost-beneficial concept.

MR. TAPPERT: That's exactly what I wanted to be sure that they're clear about that, that our radiation limits are based on safety requirements.

They're with considerable margin to make sure exposure to that level does not endanger the health and public.

What the as low as reasonably achievable, or ALARA, concept is used for is that we're not -- we don't even think that going up against those very conservative limits is really appropriate to operate the facility.

1 The operation should maintain those levels as low as 2 possible below the already very safe limits. So it's not that they don't mitigate measures 3 4 down to a safe level. They start at the safe level, and 5 they continue to go down as low as reasonably achievable. 6 7 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you, Rich, for that. 8 Do we have any other questions before we go on 9 to hear from those of you who came to give us comments? 10 MR. BURSEY: The South Carolina Department of 11 Health and Environmental Control founded the renewal of 12 the licensing? 13 14 MR. AUTRY: We are participating agencies, since we are the State of South Carolina, and we are 15 reviewing the relicensing of this facility and supply 16 all information, providing a technical review of it, not 17 only radiological, but other areas, as well. 18 19 MR. BURSEY: As an intervenor? 20 MR. AUTRY: We're a participating state. You 21 don't have to file intervenor status. You have to 22 provide input. 23 FACILITATOR CAMERON: So to clarify that, the 24 state did not file a petition to intervene in the 25 adjudicatory hearing. They are a cooperating,

1 participating agency in the preparation of the 2 environmental review. 3 MR. BURSEY: And absent DHEC's standing as a 4 formal intervenor, there will be no public hearings on 5 the final EIS; correct? FACILITATOR CAMERON: Unless someone comes in 6 7 with a late-filed petition and they open the hearing up. So people are not confused about this, Raj 8 9 mentioned, as part of his overall process, that besides the public meetings and comments that we do on the draft 10 11 environmental impact statement, there is an adjudicatory 12 hearing before a panel of judges that can be requested on a license renewal application, as well as many other 13 14 types of applications. And that is a -- that's a formal trial-type proceeding, where evidence is presented, and 15 there is cross-examination. 16 17 No one requested to what's called intervene and basically participate in an adjudicatory proceeding. 18 19 So right now, there is not going to be an adjudicatory 20 proceeding, unless someone comes in and gets standing 21 through a late-filed petition. 22 Well, thank you for all of those questions, 23 and also the suggestions from Ms. Pearson and Councilman 24 Marcharia on how we can improve the notice process. 25 take those seriously and will explore those with you.

I was going to start the formal comment part of the meeting, but we may have one more question.

MR. MARCHARIA: I must ask this of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. I asked a question earlier, how many people were from Jenkinsville, and only three hands went up in this entire community. I would ask the Regulatory Commission if you could find it to be possible to hold another committee meeting to give the constituency an opportunity to ask questions, to participate, and I will go out in the community and make sure that the churches and other constituencies is informed that you're having this hearing, if you would.

FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Councilman.

Okay. We have a formal request from the councilman, which will be so noted for the NRC to consider. But at a minimum, the next time we're out here for the draft, anytime we come out here again for a public meeting, I think it's a great idea to contact you and make sure that we get the word out to everybody. But there's -- you made a request for an additional meeting. I just want to make sure the staff knows that.

All right. Let's go to the part of the meeting where we hear from all of you a little bit more formally. I was going to start with local officials, and then I wanted to ask the companies, South Carolina

45 Electric & Gas, to give us a little bit of what their vision is behind this, and then we will go to the rest of you who wanted to comment. Representative Coleman was here, but I don't think he's here right now. So what I would like to do is to go to the two members of the Fairfield County Council and go to Carolyn Robinson first, and then we will go to Councilman Marcharia that we heard from already, too, but we will go back to him for a comment time. Wherever you're comfortable. You can use this or use this or whatever. MS. ROBINSON: We also have another council member who has arrived. FACILITATOR CAMERON: Oh, okay. MS. ROBINSON: I don't know exactly everything that I should say. But in thinking about the environment, used to, the only thing I thought about Broad River was it was a big river with a little bridge

that crossed over so I could go visit my aunt. I'm an adult, now that I sit on the county council, the lake and the river means a lot of other aspects of things to me.

Fairfield County is a special situation in that we're surrounded by a lake and a river on either side of our county. And by sitting on the environmental

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 committee for several years on council, it's just very 2 important for me to know that we're protecting those 3 lakes, because at some point, that may be the only 4 source of drinking water we're going to have. 5 is just a very important element to each of our lives. In the early seventies, I started working for 6 7 the attorney who was assisting in the acquisition of the property to build Lake Monticello. And I remember 8 asking him at that time, Why is this so important that 9 we acquire this land, that we have a nuclear power 10 11 plant? And he said, You should be fortunate that you 12 have a company who has a vision out there, who will look and be prepared for the growth that is coming down. 13 14 Well, in the mid seventies, I was visiting in 15 Washington D.C., and I will always remember that was the night of the big brownout in New York. And at that 16 time, I thought, oh, the senator was so right. Maybe 17 with the vision of SCE&G, we will not have to live 18 19 through a brownout, because that was not a good night. 20 And I just think about all of the power 21 equipment that we have now. If we have a brownout, 22 what's it going to do with all of that electric equipment that we have in our homes? 23 24 SCE&G is a wonderful partner for our county.

Because they came online, we now have some of the finest

school facilities in the state. We also are able to offer, because of their tax dollars, services to the people of this county that otherwise we could not afford because our people cannot pay taxes to provide those services.

They are a very good partner for us. Anytime we work toward an economic development project or just any event, they're always there. And their employees have gone out and formed a partnership, I think, with the local schools, so that they can do teaching and mentoring and assistance to these children in the afternoons, to help them have a better education, so that they are not in the percentage of illiterates in this county.

As far as an economic development impact on this county, this to me is a very clean lake that they have provided. We then have people who are able to fish in this lake, and we now have people who are selling property around this lake, which to us is an economic development tool. And these people are coming in and building homes, which add to our tax base.

But in the process, SCE&G has very stringent guidelines of what they can and can't do on this lake. For instance, you can't ski. They're doing various things to try to make this is a very environmental

1 impact upon the community. And I just appreciate the fact that they're here. I appreciate the fact that they 2 3 live within the guidelines to protect us, because I 4 know, even though I may live 15 miles from here, should 5 something happen, that's the end of me. I don't have to worry about an evacuation route. It's going to be gone. 6 7 So I am very concerned about the fact that they are meeting the guidelines that need to be to 8 9 protected because of nuclear power. 10 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, Council woman. 11 Councilman Marcharia, do you want to use this 12 or come up to the podium? 13 14 MR. MARCHARIA: I'll just stand. 15 Once again, I've been accused of massively running off at the mouth, so to speak, saying what's on 16 17 my mind. I hope that the messenger don't get killed in the process. But I'm only expressing what constituency 18 19 has asked me to say. Otherwise, I would have stayed 20 home in bed, with this cold. 21 I did get asked the question about the 22 perception of cancer. Fairfield County leads the state in terms of diabetes, and particularly in this area, 23 24 it's not uncommon to go to households to find that

people's legs are amputated, and people are on dialysis

machines, and the perception that the environment might 1 2 complicate these conditions. 3 So I'm just raising this because we do need an 4 independent study. That's why I asked for a medical 5 explanation. Have DHEC or other folks, the agency for this area, and just for the public safety to make sure 6 7 that these conditions and perceptions, that they are not found, they're not authentic, and I think that will go a 8 9 long ways to some uncertainties. 10 Other than that, those are some of the things that I was asked to bring to you today and ask those 11 questions and, of course, to ask for another public 12 hearing, where folks can actually participate. 13 14 Certainly, if the plant wasn't here, we certainly wouldn't have the support with our school 15 16 We wouldn't be where we are today. wouldn't be here if your health is not good, either. 17 That's the primary concern, and that's where I speak 18 19 from that. Thank you very much for giving me an 20 opportunity. 21 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Great. Thank you very 22 much for those comments. 23 We're going to go to some school officials 24 First of all, Dr. Wilder. This is Dr. Rose

Wilder, Superintendent of the Fairfield County Schools.

DR. WILDER: Good afternoon. Thank you. I would like to say that this morning I met with Dan Palmer and another gentleman. And the first question that was asked of me when they came to my office, I told them, I said I'm trying to clean this table off because -- my secretary wants to know why you're cleaning this office up. I said, Two persons will be here, and those persons contribute over \$11 million to our school systems, so I wanted them to know that money is being very well taken care of.

So I want to say that I, too, want to make certain that SCE&G continue to follow guidelines to ensure that we are subjected to clean air and a safe environment, et cetera, et cetera.

But I'm pleased to say, too, that the plant has been a very vital part of the tax base in our county. I made a point to check with Ricky Douglas, the accounting person, to find out what is the total amount. I want you to know that I'm not just all about money for the school system, because as the councilman says, if we're not healthy, we can't enjoy it. But when I'm asked the question what impact would it have on the school system if the plant were to close or not be relicensed after 2022, and my response to my secretary was, I would be retired, but that would be -- you know,

we have other people to go on.

So if the plant were not to be licensed and, in my personal opinion, the industry was not here to replace the plant that not relicensed, it would be devastating on the county.

And for the county to have a \$16 million impact from one plant, that's a big impact into our economic base on the county level.

Back to the school situation. The school district is fortunate that the V.C. Nuclear Summer Plant is the largest tax base in the county. We get in excess 11 million dollars per year in taxes from the plant.

On the other side, we want them to do what they need to do to make sure the environment is clean and healthy for everyone.

So with those comments said, I also want to reiterate that the persons at the plant, some of the employees have been very instrumental in our school system. McCorey School in the district, actually, last school term, there was a tutorial program in the afternoons, and employees from the plant actually went into the school system, worked with the students, and served as mentors or lunch buddies. It was a wonderful situation.

We also had occasion to have some persons come

in -- we have our career fairs. We've had persons come in and serve as coaches or mentors to the students, answering various questions. I'm pleased to say

Mr. Jeff Archie has been very active in the program -- the program targets low-income students. It's an afterschool program. Jeff Archie, an employee of the company, has actually served as, I think, as board chair for that board. He's been a wonderful resource.

He heard me complaining one day that we need to have some health with our website. He actually volunteered some of the persons from the plant to help us develop our website. And Mr. Greg, I think it's Halnon has been familiar with the chamber. When I came to the county a year ago, there was some strife between chamber members and school board members, and Mr. Greg from the plant came on that body, and he was actually very instrumental in soothing some of the -- between the school board the chamber members.

So in a nutshell, I can say that the persons at the plant actually have been good neighbors. They will be good for the economy. I have been blessed to have met some of the people I have met, and we're looking forward to more?

FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Dr. Wilder.

Before we go to Lunelle Harmon, let's hear from

Councilman Murphy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MURPHY: Thank you. I've been on the council for 18 years. I was educated in the high school public school system. I live in Ridgeway. I've looked at the V.C. Summer plant before it was the V.C. Summer plant. I've been in education for 34 years. I remember when the total budgets for the school was around 93 percent for the total funding for the Fairfield County Federal government. I remember when the millage was below three or four thousand dollars. Then you put on the station out here at Parr, and that's \$12,500 and on up, just to give you a little history there, a lot of things.

I remember when we were trying to get this licensing, people with all of this anxiety in this community and around the county on what they're going to bring into the county, they're going to have blind fish and everything else out here. I remember all of those things. That was rumors. I remember a lot of those different things that were there, and I think that there needs to be a consciousness, always a level of consciousness for the responsibility of all of the regulatory agencies need to be there, because we need to protect people from what they don't know is happening to them.

As far as health issues, we have a lot of health issues in Fairfield County, and a lot of contributory things that have been done. We're unique in different things. We have a fault line that runs right through here. We also have a great deposit of granite in the county that lets off rayon gas and all these other things that's not attributed to the Summer plant. But through it all, I think V.C. Summer Nuclear Plant has been a safe partner, a good neighbor, one that Fairfield County has to look at and say thank you for coming here, thank you for managing it. rated in the top five safest nuclear plants in America. The benefits of the taxes that's been bought in, over \$17 million to the county. Where would we be if it wasn't for V.C. Summer? But through that, too, all of the responsibilities and quidelines and things that we need to go through need to be at the utmost importance to make sure everyone is doing what they should do. FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, Councilman Murphy. Lunelle Harmon, who is the principal of Pomaria-Garmany Elementary School. Thank you, Mr. Cameron, and good MS. HARMON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

afternoon to all of you. My name is Lunelle Harmon, and I'm the principal of Pomaria-Garmany Elementary School in Pomaria, in Newberry County, right next to here. I'm located about ten miles from here. And I'm here today to tell you of the support that my school has received from SCE&G. I am an advocate of the statement, It takes a village to raise a child.

In March of 2001, I received word that our school playground had been found unsafe for children to play on. Almost all of our playground equipment would have to be torn down before school opened the following school year.

I can't tell you what a dilemma I felt myself in. The district told us that each school could receive 5,500 toward the purchase of playground equipment.

You're talking in terms of millions; I'm talking in terms of \$5,500.

Well, since I didn't have any concept of the prices for playground equipment at that time, I thought that that might be a fair amount. Well, in case you don't know, \$5,500 will buy about one nice slide for children to play on.

So I went to my PTA, which is my backbone of my school, and I asked them what we could possibly do to help raise funding for our children to have playground

1 equipment, and I was told that we could begin with 2 having a country-fried steak supper. And we did, and we raised funding through that. 3 4 I also went to speak to people at local areas, 5 like our Pomaria Town Council, and I told them I was not beneath begging because it was for our children. 6 7 do have about 400 children in my school at the present 8 time, pre-K through five. The supper that we had, I can't remember the 9 exact amount that was raised, but it was spearheaded by 10 my PTA office, and our vice-president, A.P. Smith, an 11 employee of SCE&G, and he has stuck by me. 12 That's why I'm here today. 13 14 We raised money from that supper, and we had money donated by the Town of Pomaria, and it totaled 15 enough that we could purchase the playground equipment. 16 The only problem was, we were faced with an expensive 17 installation charge that we didn't have the money for. 18 19 After questioning prices, I was told that we 20 could pay a much smaller charge and have one person come 21 out as a consultant for one day if I could have people 22 on-site to actually do the work that was supposed to meet safety standards. 23 24 Well, SCE&G employees came to our rescue.

They worked two very steaming June days.

25

It was

horrible those days, I do recall. At the close of the second day, we had our brand new insurance-approved playground equipment installed, enough playground equipment to occupy the time of approximately 80 students at one time. Of course, we have a ballfield and stuff such as that that don't cost a lot of money.

But I do want to name these young men and women who gave those two days to us: Ronnie Banister, Mark Balkman, Frank Derrick, Richard Derrick, Cynthia Hair, Barry Mather, Paul Hickman, Eric Rumfelt and my faithful, A.P. Smith.

Now, the wonderful part of that fact that these SCE&G employees came to my rescue is that only one of them had children in my school. I did know two of the others, but I had never met any of the others, and they came through for me.

So by the time school started that year, we were prepared for school to start. Even right before I came today, we had a call from Mr. Thomas Fritter, employed by SCE&G, and he was talking about a class presentation that he will be making to my fourth-graders next week.

So you see, during this holiday season, we're very thankful for all of our blessings. I can honestly say that Pomaria-Garmany is being supported by our

1 entire community, or a village, which is made up of our 2 parents, the Town of Pomaria, and people, such as SCE&G 3 employees. Yes, I am truly thankful that our entire 4 village raises our children and supports our schools. 5 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, What a great story. 6 Principal Harmon. 7 Let's go to South Carolina Electric & Gas to hear a little bit more about what their vision is in 8 9 license renewal. I would like to ask Steve Byrne, senior vice president at the V.C. Summer Nuclear Station 10 to come up. 11 Good afternoon. 12 MR. BYRNE: I'm Steve A. I am the vice president for Nuclear Operations 13 14 for SCE&G. I'm located here at the V.C. Summer Station. 15 V.C. Summer, as many of you are probably aware, is owned two-thirds by South Carolina Electric & 16 Gas, and one-third by the State of South Carolina 17 through the Public Service Authority. You're going to 18 hear a little bit more about that relationship when 19 20 Robin White comes up to speak a little bit later. 21 Together, we have operated V.C. Summer safely 22 for 20 years, and we look forward to operating the plant safety in this community for another 40 years. 23 24 obviously, we can only do that with a license renewal

for plant extension that we've been talking about today.

South Carolina is a state that derives much benefit from nuclear. There are seven operating reactors in this state, six in addition to the V.C. Summer Station. And while the United States derives 21 percent of electrical needs from nuclear, South Carolina is almost 60 percent power from nuclear.

This company, South Carolina Electric & Gas, opened its first generating facility in this county in 1914. That was the Parr Generating Plant. That is a facility that generates about 15 megawatts, relatively small, but it's still operating today.

We also operate this man-made lake that you've heard so much about, the Monticello Reservoir, is also a pumped storage lake. We will pump it out in the evenings and let it down during the day. We can generate about 525 megawatts of electricity from hydropower units in this county.

So when you combine all of the facilities currently, we're in excess of 1,550 megawatts of generated power right here in Fairfield County.

The largest, obviously, is V.C. Summer. We're just over 1,000 megawatts. We also provide jobs for about 625 SCE&G employees and in excess of 100 long-term contract employees. When we have a feeling or a job scare, our contract workforce will swell, usually for a

month or two at a time.

We're also the largest taxpayer in the county. You've heard a lot about that. We pay about 17-1/2 million dollars in taxes and represent about 67 percent of the tax base. Unfortunately, with the Mack Truck closing, we may be the larger portion of the tax base.

Mack, I believe, was the second higher taxpayer, and they pay just under a million dollars a year, compared to our 17-1/2 million dollars a year.

In addition to energy, jobs and taxes, which are very, very tangible, there are a lot of intangible benefits. You've heard about some of them here today.

Our support of schools, not only in Fairfield County, but also Newberry County. We do also support science fairs as judges in Newberry County for their high schools. But we also have a shadowing program Fairfield County Schools we support. We have a friendship program for vocational welding, who we support through Fairfield County Schools.

We participate in the strategic planning. The career days are very valuable with McCorey-Liston School. We also donated a couple years ago in excess of 100 PC's to the local school district.

We're a haven for wildlife. The NRC team here got to see that firsthand yesterday. They almost hit a

couple of deer. Went by a couple of flocks of wild turkeys. Obviously, the aquatic life, and we are home to at least one pair of nesting bald eagles. Saw three of them out there the other day.

Recreational activities. In addition to the fishing on the lake, the recreational lake was put in by SCE&G, which is at the northern part of Lake Monticello. We have a nature trail on site that has been used for our schools. We also facilitate the land for the county park that is on this side of the county, Western Fairfield County. As you are well aware, there is not a lot in Western Fairfield County to hang your hat on.

Community service, you heard a little bit about the chamber. Right now, one of our employees is the vice president of the chamber, next year will be the president of the chamber. I've been the president of the chamber in the past.

United Way Campaign, we have long been associated with the United Way campaign. This is a very difficult county to raise funds in. It is a thankless task. We have also -- some of the local United Way Fairfield County campaign, and we have an employee from our plant that has chaired that campaign three out of the last five years.

The communities and schools board, you heard

1 some favorable comments about Jeff Archie. I don't know 2 if he is -- he was this year, but he's going to be the chair of the County Communities and School Board. 3 4 Also, we are very interested in the Fairfield 5 Behavioral Health Services, and their capital campaign committee to build a new facility, and I'm the chair of 6 7 that steering committee, and SCE&G just announced \$30,000 towards that building campaign. 8 9 In closing, I would like to say that SCE&G, V.C. Summer and our employees have appreciated being a 10 part of this community for the last 20 years. We think 11 we have been a good neighbor of this community. We have 12 operated the plant safety, within the confines of 13 14 regulation, and we would like to be a part of this 15 community for the next 40 years. One point of clarification, the fossil plant 16 that Mr. Marcharia was talking about is not an SCE&G 17 fossil plant. 18 19 (Laughter.) 20 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Ms. Pearson? 21 MS. PEARSON: I've got to go. I want to 22 welcome you to the community. 23 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Let me get you on here. 24 MS. PEARSON: I want to welcome you all to our 25 community and hope you come back again. You've heard a

1 lot about what SCE&G is doing for the schools. You've 2 read a lot about how the schools is not doing. 3 integrated Winnsboro High with 18 students, and every 4 one of those students excelled and went to college with 5 25 units. Now they can't get out of high school, but SCE&G is pouring money in it. 6 7 We need to get together, put this money together. We could get a college in Fairfield County 8 for all SCE&G is doing for them. Don't get me wrong. 9 Ι just say that about me because my son works for SCE&G. 10 I ain't going to tell you what his name is. 11 But anyway, y'all come back to see us. 12 need to get together. If we get a government in this 13 14 county, we'll have a wonderful county, but we've got to 15 get it together. Thank you for coming. I didn't have anything to do with it, because I didn't know we were 16 going to be here until today. That's why we're asking 17 for you all to give the community a little bit of input 18 19 in what SCE&G is doing for us. When my lights go out, 20 I'm going to call you. 21 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Ms. Pearson. 22 Let's go to Steve Summer, who is the 23 environmental coordinator on the license renewal

MR. SUMMER: My name is Steve Summer, and I'm

application, and perhaps --

24

the environmental lead for the license renewal project at the Summer station. I'm actually an employee of SCANA Services. SCANA, the parent company of SCE&G, took a lot of the functions, including some of the environmental groups, and put them in a service company. So earlier this year, I moved from SCE&G to SCANA Services, but it really hasn't changed my job.

Nearly 25 years ago, I came to work at the Summer station in the environmental surveillance laboratory. The plant was still under construction.

Monticello Reservoir had just been filled. Over the ensuing years, my career has been closely tied to Summer Station. I spent 14 years in the plant's environmental section, conducting radiological environmental monitoring, and overseeing the nonradiological environmental monitoring program.

The past 10-1/2 years have been spent in the company's corporate environmental services department, where I have continued to provide environmental support to the plant. When the opportunity arose, I was happy to become involved in the license renewal process.

Summer Station's environmental performance has been very good, as evidenced by the lack of news coverage for environmental problems at the plant for the first 20 years of the operating license. The creation

of Summer Station and its companion generating plant,
Fairfield Pumped Storage Facility, have provided an
environment which has been conducive to the expansion of
the bald eagle population. It was a rare event in the
early years of the plant's operation to see a bald
eagle. Today it's commonplace, with eagles raising
their young in the Parr Reservoir and the Monticello
Reservoir area.

The SCE&G, the operator of the Summer Station, has made positive environmental strides in recent years. Some steps are small, such as the installation of perch guards on distribution poles where bird electrocution is a problem. Some steps are major, such as the installation of additional pollution control equipment on coal-fired plants, the conversion of two coal-fired units to natural gas at Urquhart Station on Savannah River, and the set-aside of river bottom wetlands in a conservation easement at Cope Station.

SCE&G has funded scientific research into striped bass population dynamics in the Santee River drainage and will fund a study of avian vacuolar myelinopathy, a disease that affects bald eagles, on Lake Murray.

SCE&G is a member of the Robust Redhorse

Conservation Committee, a rare fish that's found only in

Georgia and South Carolina -- South and North Carolina, and that organization is dedicated to the recovery of that species.

SCE&G hired a consulting firm, Tetra Tech NUS, to work with us in preparing the environmental report and to guide us through the environmental review process. Tetra Tech NUS has been involved in the preparation of several license environmental reports and is a leader in this area.

Development of the report required interviewing numerous employees, contact with county, state and federal agencies and review of applicable regulations.

In conjunction with the environmental report, a threatened and endangered species survey was conducted for the plant site and associated transmission line corridors. This survey found no evidence of threatened or endangered species on the plant site or the transmission corridors. With the exception to that being the eagles that are not nesting on the plant site now, but do come visit.

I have been involved with monitoring Summer Station's environmental impacts, evaluating its environmental performance, and providing environmental support since the facility began operation and have now

2 for license renewal. I believe that Summer Station will continue to 3 4 provide electricity in an environmentally sound manner 5 for many years to come. I also believe that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, when their review is complete, 6 7 will find that the environmental impacts from the operation of the Summer Station are not significant, and 8 that extension of the operating license by 20 years is 9 I believe that nuclear power, and Summer 10 Station in particular, has a place in our society and 11 this community today and in the future. Thank you. 12 FACILITATOR CAMERON: I'm going to go to 13 14 Mr. Robin White now from the South Carolina Public 15 Service Authority. Thank you, Mr. Cameron. 16 MR. WHITE: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 17 My name is Robin White. I'm the nuclear coordinator for the 18 South Carolina Public Service Authority. 19 20 recognized by its corporate name Santee Cooper. Santee Cooper, as Steve indicated, has a 21 22 one-third non-operating interest in the Summer Nuclear We pay one-third of the expenses and receive 23 24 one-third of the electric production. SCE&G operates 25 the plant on Santee Cooper's behalf.

been directly involved in the environmental assessment

I have been employed by Santee Cooper for 12 years, and I've worked at Summer Station the entire 12 years. My job is to conduct oversight of all aspects of stations operation to ensure that Santee Cooper's interests are protected.

Prior to my joining Santee Cooper, I spent 22 of my 30 years in the Navy directly involved with the Navy Nuclear Power Program. I commanded two submarines, inspected more than 80 nuclear-powered vessels, and directed operational material control to a group of nuclear-powered submarines.

I believe my background qualifies me to ascertain safe operation of a nuclear facility. As my office is at the station, I have unfettered access to all areas of the station, as well as the ability to talk to all employees and review documentation on a continual basis.

Another prime method of oversight is my membership in the Nuclear Safety Review Committee, a body established by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in technical specifications. This committee reviews, on a regular basis, all aspects of nuclear safety. Thus from both daily observation and the Nuclear Safety Review Committee review audits, I have found the operation of Summer Station to be found in a strong safety culture.

On several occasions in the last 12 years, management of the station has elected to shut down the plant when there was a question of proper operation of critical equipment. This selection was done at management's discretion, not by any requirement. The last time was in 1998. One of the emergency diesel generator governors exhibited abnormal characteristics. Even though the other diesel engine was operating normally, management elected to shut down the plant to investigate and repair the abnormal operating governor, and to verify that the other diesel would not be subject to the same conditions.

As stewards of the environment, management of Summer Station has reduced the tri-annual cycle volume of low-level radioactive waste by 90 percent over the last six cycles for 18 years, recycling items previously disposed of and training the workforce to exercise prudent utilization and materials have accomplished the significant reduction.

Santee Cooper is pleased with the safe operations with the Summer Station and wholly supports license extension. The continued operation of Summer Station is in the best interest of Santee Cooper's customers, the people of South Carolina. Reliable operation of Summer Station, a non-greenhouse gas

1 emitter, precludes the requirement to use greenhouse gas 2 from any generation and is economical for our customers. 3 Thank you for the opportunity to present these 4 remarks. 5 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, Mr. White. Our next three speakers are going to go to 6 7 Brett Bursey first, and then Kim Bowers, and then to 8 Perry --9 MR. BURSEY: I'm Brett Bursey, and 25 years ago I lived -- well, for 25 years, I've lived about 10 4 miles from here. When I found out that they were 11 going to build a nuclear power plant, I filed a petition 12 to intervene. What that means is that there were 13 14 hearings that I could call witnesses, I could 15 cross-examine people. It went on for five years. learned more than any civilian person needs to learn 16 17 about nuclear power. 18 Oh, I forgot to ask. I want to know how many 19 people are here that aren't paid to be here today. 20 out of 54, let the record reflect. 21 One of the things that I learned during this 22 five years of intensive work -- I mean, it was me and the South Carolina Electric & Gas would show up, 20 men 23 24 in suits and me and my cardboard box. The process made

Jack Stoles, one of the managers at V.C.

a safer plant.

Summer Plant, told me, Thank you, Brett, because the people that owned this investment, eight of the top ten stockholders in New York banks, are more concerned with making money than the good people that are running this plant. And when the good people running this plant go to the management that has to relate to the corporate owners that are looking out for the bottom line and say, We've got to do this more safely, they say, Well, you know, we may not have to do it more safely. Let's just do it safe enough to get by.

I'm very upset that there will be no intervenor in the relicensing process. What that will probably mean is that this will be the only nuclear reactor in the United States ever to go through a licensing process without an adjudicatory hearing. So what you will have, you will have a trial, and you won't have anybody prosecuting. Everybody will be defending the industry. DHEC will be there defending the industry. They didn't do a darn thing during that hearing that we had that lasted five years, and it was the first state health agency that didn't intervene in the 73 nuclear licensing proceedings that preceded that. So I am upset.

I do want to say that I don't think -- I do not question the integrity of the people that work for

SCE&G or the nuclear regulatory commission. just doing their jobs. But SCE&G is constrained by the profit made from the corporation, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is constrained by being a political operation. The rules of practice and procedure that this relicensing is going to take place under are so restricted that we're not going to bring out the fact -- we're not going to be able to bring up the fact that a major crack in what they call the hot leg, which is the main big pipe that cools reactors, which caught the attention of nuclear informed people all over the world, will not be able to be brought up in hearings, because it's not going to be in the generic aging lessons learned protocol. And if it's not in the generic aging lessons learned protocol, we can't bring So the NRC's stated goal is to make relicensing more predictable and streamlined. To whose interest is that?

You may be wondering why on earth are we here talking about relicensing a plant 20 years before the license runs out. How do we know what shape that plant is going to be in in 20 years? How do we know what the need for power is going to be? Maybe Councilman Marcharia will get some people together in Fairfield County, and they'll build some facility that uses

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

renewable energy and could compete with SCE&G.

We don't know what condition or the need for power is going to be in 20 years. So why are we here? Because if the plant gets relicensed now, it adds 20 years onto the life of it, and they can amortize that value, it makes their investment more profitable. It's all about money for the company. It increases the value of the plant. It has nothing to do with safety. It's got nothing to do with generating electricity. The one fine hurdle here is, the Public Service Commission asking if they would approve them — the operation of the reactor beyond this 40-year-life span. When they built that plant, the question of aging wasn't an issue. Now, 20 years later, the question of aging is an issue.

They found embrittlement to be an issue.

They're finding nuclear reactors that have been running for as long as the V.C. Summer plant, the pipes are getting brittle. But there are so many issues that aren't going to be considered in the relicensing hearing, that it's a pretty futile gesture, but that we need to make an attempt to try and get the State of South Carolina involved.

I would encourage all of the citizens here to talk to their councilmen and to talk to DHEC and to try and get them to file a petition to intervene, so that

there will be a hearing that brings out all of the issues, and not just the ones that are put on the table by the power company or the NRC.

The generic approach to age-related degradation solely benefits the nuclear industry.

They're relicensing nuclear reactors as if they're all the same is contrary to public interest. David Wise, a nuclear official, told the publication Inside NRC, a Nuclear Regulatory Commission insider magazine,

October 9th, 2000, in fact, nuclear power plants are like snow flakes, each one is different. And in this relicensing proceeding, the way it's designed, is they're going to do -- (Inaudible, Door opens) -- like they're all the same. We have very limited opportunity to raise site-specific concerns.

In the past years, eight nuclear power plants have been forced to shut down because of age-related deficiencies. According to the Union for Concerned Scientists, age-related failures occur approximately every 60 days. There is what's referred to as aging management programs underway. They're not preventing failures.

In my estimation, aging management programs fix things before they break. We're 20 years from the end of the license of this reactor. Reactors this old

are having problems. This reactor is having aging problems. They're finding them after they happen.

They didn't find a big crack in that hot leg until after they shut down for a normal refueling outage. If that pipe had broken, you would have what the nuclear industry calls a loss of coolant accident, and it would happen so rapidly, that we would have very little time to evacuate our homes.

It's very high-tech -- a high-tech operation that needs to have preventive measures proven that can stay off the effects of aging before they fail, as opposed to finding and fixing them after they fail. They need to prove that to us before they relicense this plant to operate 20 years from now.

The security at the V.C. Summer plant, when it went into effect when I was the intervenor, they had to be able to withstand the -- to repulse the assault of 12 dedicated terrorists, and they were tested by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Well, they were failing -- not just them, but across the board, nuclear reactors were not measuring up to the security limits that were imposed by the NRC.

So in 1998, they did away with the test. Now, SCE&G tests themselves. It's kind of like an open-book test, and they report to the NRC, We did a great job, we

can handle it.

September 11th changed a lot of things, but it didn't change security at the nuclear power plants. I don't know if you've been through an airport lately where they have federal screeners that make you take off your shoes. We have Wackenhut being tested by the power company, and we know that one of Al Queda's targets they talked about was a nuclear reactor.

I hope Al Queda is not listening. They probably know this anyway. If they were going to do something with a reactor, they wouldn't mess with 6 feet of concrete. You've got the storage facility for its spent fuel pool. The power company just got permission to put more spent fuel rods in than it was designed for, and it's in a metal building, and you can blow that up, and you can take out, oh, a huge -- we're talking hundreds and hundreds of miles and hundreds of thousands of people, and it's not even an impregnable building. And it's not even protected by people who passed any type of objective security test.

I have some problems with the procedure that is being utilized by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to consider relicensing the V.C. Summer Plant, and will be working with citizens in this neighborhood and hopefully bring pressure down on the Department of

1 Health and Environmental Control to the basis 2 responsibility that they have to ensure that this plant 3 operates in the safest fashion. 4 I respect the people that work there, and I 5 think they do a good job. I know that they're constrained by the people that pay their checks. 6 7 you. 8 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Brett. 9 Let's go to Kim Bowers. 10 MR. BOWERS: My name is Kim Bowers. 11 coordinator for the Irmo/Chapin Recreation Commission 12 Senior Services Program at Crooked Creek in Chapin. V.C. Summer stations has supported our programs by their 13 14 involvement in our home delivery meals program. 15 Irmo/Chapin Recreation Commission provides hot nutritional meals for homebound, frail, older adults in 16 17 our community. Without the help of volunteers, we would be 18 limited in the number of meals we could serve to 19 20 seniors. For over ten years, with over 25 volunteers, 21 V.C. Summer has provided hundreds of hours, of volunteer 22 hours to our program. 23 When our program increased and we needed 24 additional volunteers, V.C. Summer -- Mayor Hartley immediately found us volunteers to increase our program. 25

As our program decreased, again, they graciously decided 1 2 to limit their delivery route, even though we had many volunteers who wanted to continue. 3 4 Through the years, many volunteers of 5 V.C. Summer have become our friends and partners. The volunteers at V.C. Summer are a reliable, steady and 6 7 long-lasting group. We look forward to having 8 V.C. Summer Station volunteer for home delivery programs 9 for years to come. 10 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, Mr. Bowers. 11 Let's go to Terrie Hickory from the Fairfield 12 County Chamber of Commerce. 13 14 MS. VICKERS: Good afternoon. It's a pleasure to be here. Thank you for the invitation. 15 I'm Terrie Vickers with the Fairfield County 16 Chamber of Commerce. I've been with the Chamber since 17 1997, and I've had the distinct pleasure to work very 18 19 closely with the staff and management of the V.C. Summer 20 Station during my tenure. 21 Since 1997, and I'm sure long before that time, there has been a representative from V.C. Summer 22 Station on the Chamber Board of Directors. 23 Steve Byrne 24 served, as he stated earlier, as president in 2000, and 25 Greg Halnon is our current vice president, and he will

be stepping into the president's chair in January of 2003.

V.C. Summer has just been invaluable to the chamber as far as our technology. We don't have a lot of funds for technology. And when the plant was upgrading their computer system, V.C. Summer donated two computers to the chamber and the technical support people to come in and pull us into the 21st century, and for that we're very grateful.

They have supported the chamber, sponsorships for events and functions, such as the annual legislative -- (Inaudible, door opens) -- our Rock Around the Clock Festival, which is an annual festival downtown, our Christmas drop-in, business after hours, and our annual membership banquet, just to name a few.

Steve Byrne, during his tenure as president, also sat in the dunking booth at the annual festival. That was great fun for the board to come around to see if we could dunk him.

Steve is currently serving as the capital campaign director for our Fairfield behavioral health services, formerly known as Fairfield Substance Abuse Commission. This is a major endeavor for a critically needed new facility in a new and more convenient location in the county.

V.C. Summer and staff has also adopted

McCorey-Liston Elementary School in Jenkinsville,

working as mentors in other areas, and I'm sure that
that helped McCorey-Liston receive a grade of average,

one of the best in our county in the recent school
report cards.

V.C. Summer folks have taken a very strong leadership role in the United Way campaign in Fairfield County. Steve Byrne has served as head of that campaign in 2000. Jeff Archie is heading that campaign this year. These endeavors have been successful campaigns, and bring money back to the agencies in Fairfield County, so that they can continue to support their clients and improve services to our community.

The Fairfield County Communities and Schools Program, an afterschool tutorial program for at-risk youth, combines school and social service resources to give the chance at successful, productive lives.

SCANA Corporation played a strong leadership role in forming this program. And their financial support and employee participation in governing boards, tutoring and mentoring these use is an investment the company can be proud of. Currently, Mr. Jeff Archie of V.C. Summer Station is serving as our local chair of the Communities and School Program.

The State of South Carolina has committed to preparing its children to enter the first grade ready to read. SCANA shares that commitment. The First Steps program combines the efforts of public and private organizations to provide various services to help parents ready their young children for school.

SCANA is a proud supporter of the First Steps program and has contributed \$500,000 to this critically important cause. After the 2002 annual meeting, First Steps presented Jeff Archie of SCE&G, V.C. Summer Plant with the Community Service Award, in recognition of the company's donation of land on which to build a new childcare center in Western Fairfield County, as well as their continued commitment and dedication to the future of our children.

The Downtown as Classroom Program began in 1994 as a collaborative effort between SCANA, the Department of Education, and the South Carolina Downtown Development Association. This program exposes children to all of the rewarding ways they can contribute to the community in which they live.

Thanks to this support, Winnsboro has had three successful Downtown as Classroom projects. My favorite is "What Time Is It?" This is an abbreviated walking tour of the downtown area of Winnsboro and its

historic buildings. This was done by an eighth-grade class. It was researched, written and produced by those students. It is currently being used in the chamber as an information piece. It is put out by the South Carolina Railroad Museum for the children that visit there. But most importantly, it's being used by the Literacy Counsel to help residents learn to read.

As good stewards of our environment, the staff of V.C. Summer developed a 1-1/2 mile nature trail on the shores of Lake Monticello. Finches, wood duck boxes, bluebird boxes and plant identification markers make this a delightful place for residents and visitors to enjoy. This trail, along with the Lake Monticello recreation area, is featured in our tourism booklet, things to see and do in the old English district.

This is in all of the welcome centers across the State of South Carolina, and it's also included in every packet of information that we send out from the chamber of commerce, and it is also placed in all information brochure racks throughout the county.

I'm sure this is a small part of the many other activities in which the staff of V.C. Summer is involved. I enjoy working with the folks from V.C. Summer, SCANA and SCE&G. They are dedicated employees. They're very personable. They're concerned.

1 Most of all, they are very professional in everything 2 that they do, and you always go the extra mile, and for that, I say thank you. 3 4 I know you've heard a lot about the tax 5 dollars that V.C. Summer pays. If you look at the way those tax dollars are allocated, the largest portion 6 7 does go to our school district, and that's very beneficial. But I also need -- we need to think about 8 9 the allocation to our library, the hospital, the fire board, the recreation areas, the general operation of 10 11 the county and, of course, the school district. All of 12 these are very viable to life in our community. We're grateful to V.C. Summer to your 13 14 tremendous contribution, and to the effort that you're 15 making to improve the quality of life in our community. 16 Thank you. 17 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much. Mr. Bursey brought up a concern that we hear a 18 19 lot after the events of September 11th, a security 20 And I thought that it might be useful to just concern. 21 have John Tappert talk a little bit about what the 22 commission has done since then in terms of security 23 considerations. 24 John? 25 MR. TAPPERT: Just real briefly. Even before

September 11th of last year, the commission took security of facilities very seriously and have very stringent requirements in place. We have highly-trained guard forces and multiple barriers to facilities, motion sensors, armored defensive positions, and a whole bunch of other defenses, and that was before 911. They are the most secured civilian installations in this country.

Of course, after those terrorists attacks, the commission took additional actions to make it even more secure. We issued orders to each of the 103 nuclear power plants. (Inaudible, coughing). They have additional vehicle bottom barriers and guards and things of that nature.

The commission is also doing a number of additional studies and reviews to determine what additional precautions will be appropriate with the current world situation and analyzing the threats -- (Inaudible, coughing).

So you're not going to see any of that discussion in our environmental impact statement or in the other safety review. We don't consider that in the scope of license renewal, per se, because it applies to all 103 plants. It's not necessarily restricted to just license renewal. So it's being taken care of on a generic basis, but you're not necessarily going to see

1	it as part of this review. I just wanted to make that
2	point.
3	FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you.
4	MR. TAPPERT: If you have a number of other
5	issues that you raised, you can have a number of other
6	people discuss that with you, as well.
7	FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you. I don't
8	think we have anybody else that wants to talk this
9	afternoon. We are going to be back at 7:00 for another
10	meeting, 6:00 open house.
11	Before we close, though, are there any other
12	questions that we can answer for anybody? The staff is
13	going to be here. Our consultants will be here to talk
14	to you after the meeting, also.
15	Well, thank you very much for coming out, and
16	we will adjourn.
17	(Proceedings concluded at 3:30 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	